1	STP							
	KIMBALL JONES, ESQ.							
2	Nevada Bar No. 12982 JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ.							
3	Nevada Bar No. 12608							
	RICHARD FONBUENA, ESQ.							
4	Nevada Bar No. 15041							
5	BIGHORN LAW 716 S. Jones Blvd.							
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107							
6	Phone: (702) 333-1111							
_	Fax: (702) 507-0092							
7	Jacob@BighornLaw.com Richard@BighornLaw.com							
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs							
	UNITED STATES D	STRICT COURT						
9								
10	DISTRICT OI	FNEVADA						
10	ARLEM FIGUEROA, DANIEL FIGUEROA,	CASE NO: 2:19-cv-01236-JCM-VCF						
11	DAMIEN FIGUEROA, DANTE FIGUEROA,							
	LESLIE FIGUEROA, and DANIA FIGUEROA,							
12	individually,							
13	Plaintiffs,							
	v.							
14								
15	FORD MOTOR COMPANY; DOE OWNERS I-							
13	V, DOE DRIVERS I-V, ROE EMPLOYERS I-X,							
16	and ROE COMPANIES I-X,							
	Defendants.							
17								
18	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT							
19	WHEREAS on July 19, 2019, Plaintiffs,	ARLEM FIGUEROA, DANIEL FIGUEROA,						
20								
20	DAMIEN FIGUEROA, DANTE FIGUEROA, LESLIE FIGUEROA, and DANIA FIGUEROA, filed							
21	their complaint for Negligence, Product Defect/Strict Liability, Failure to Inspect and Warn, and							
22	Breach of Implied Warranty as to All Defendants in this action against FORD MOTOR COMPANY							
23	(Defendant).							
24								
∠ ¬	Page 1	of 3						
25	4828-5981-6877							

1	WHEREAS Plaintiffs seek to file their Second Amended Complaint to reflect Plaintiffs			
2	LESLIE FIGUEROA and DANIA FIGUEROA are minors and to add the parent and legal guardians			
3	of Plaintiffs LESLIE FIGUEROA and DANIA FIGUEROA.			
4	WHEREAS a copy of Plaintiffs Proposed Second Amended Complaint is attached hereto as			
5	Exhibit "1".			
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Plaintiffs, ARLEM FIGUEROA, DANIEL			
7	FIGUEROA, DAMIEN FIGUEROA, DANTE FIGUEROA, LESLIE FIGUEROA, and DANIA			
8	FIGUEROA, and Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY, by and through their respective counsel,			
9	that:			
10	Plaintiffs should be granted leave to file their Second Amended Complaint, a copy of which			
11	is attached hereto as Exhibit "1".			
12	2. Defendant's responsive pleading shall be due thirty (30) days after the Second Amended			
13	Complaint is filed.			
14	DATED: November 22, 2019 BIGHORN LAW			
15	BY: /s/Kimball Jones			
16	Kimball Jones, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12982			
	Jacob G. Leavitt, Esq.			
17	Nevada Bar No. 12608 Richard Fonbuena, Esq.			
18	Nevada Bar No. 15041 Attorney for Plaintiffs			
19	DATED: November 22, 2019 SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.			
20	BY: /s/Morgan T. Petrelli			
21	Vaughn A. Crawford, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7665			
22	Morgan T. Petrelli, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13221			
	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100			
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Company			
24	Page 2 of 3			
25	4828-5981-6877			

ORDER The Court having reviewed the foregoing Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore: IT IS SO ORDERED that Plaintiffs, ARLEM FIGUEROA, DANIEL FIGUEROA, DAMIEN FIGUEROA, DANTE FIGUEROA, LESLIE FIGUEROA, and DANIA FIGUEROA, are granted leave to file their Second Amended Complaint to add the parents and legal guardians of minor Plaintiffs Leslie Figueroa and Dania Figueroa, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1". IT IS ALSO ORDERED that Defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY's responsive pleading shall be due thirty (30) days after the Second Amended Complaint is filed. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall file their Second Amended Complaint within fourteen (14) days after the date this Order is transmitted via the CM/ECF filing system. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 22nd day of November, 2019. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Page 3 of 3

1	1 KIMBALL JONES, ESQ.								
	Nevada Bar No. 12982								
2	JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12608								
3									
	Nevada Bar No. 15041								
4	4 BIGHORN LAW 716 S. Jones Blvd.								
5									
	Phone: (702) 333-1111								
6									
7	Jacob@BighornLaw.com Richard@BighornLaw.com								
/	Attorneys for Plaintiffs								
8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	DISTRICT OF NEVAD	A							
	DISTRICT OF NEVAD	A							
10									
11	- II	E NO: 2:19-cv-01236-JCM-VCF							
11	DANIA FIGUEROA, a Minor; DAMIEN								
12	FIGUEROA, individually; DANTE FIGUEROA,								
10	individually; MARISOL CONTREAS, as natural								
13	parent and legal guardian of LESLIE FIGUEROA, a Minor,								
14									
	Plaintiffs,								
15	5 v.								
16	6 FORD MOTER COMPANY DOE ON THE AND								
	FORD MOTOR COMPANY; DOE OWNERS I-V, DOE DRIVERS I-V, ROE EMPLOYERS I-X, and								
17	ROE COMPANIES I-X,								
18									
10	Defendants.								
19	9								
20	SECOND AMENDED COMP	<u>LAINT</u>							
20	Plaintiffs, ARLEM FIGUEROA, individually, DANI	EL FIGUEROA, individually, JANIE							
21	1	22 110021tori, marviauary, vriivii							
22	SAN JUANITA GARCIA as natural parent and legal guard	ian of DANIA FIGUEROA, a Minor							
22	DAMIEN FIGUEROA, individually, DANTE FIGUEROA, inc	dividually MARISOL CONTREAS as							
23	3								
24		natural parent and legal guardian of LESLIE FIGUEROA, a Minor, by and through their attorneys							
24	Page 1 of 12								
25	5 4839-1166-9677								

4839-1166-9677

the subject collision of June 24, 2017 in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

- Upon information and belief and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant FORD is a Nevada CT
 Corporation, duly organized and authorized to conduct business in the County of Clark, State of
 Nevada.
- 9. Upon information and belief and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant DOES I-X, individuals residing in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, and responsible for inspection, maintenance of the subject vehicle identified herein and/or was or were directing the course and scope of the actions of one or more of the other Defendants named herein.
- 10. Upon information and belief and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant ROE Corporations, was/were business entities that were the agent(s) and/or employee(s) of one or more of the other Defendants named herein and/or was/were acting in the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with one or more of the other Defendants named herein.
- 11. Upon information and belief and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant ROE MANUFACTURER I-V is, and at all times pertinent hereto was, an entity doing business in the State of Nevada, and is the manufacturer of the vehicle that was driving in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 12. Upon information and belief, and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant ROE DISTRIBUTOR I-V is, and at all times pertinent hereto was, an entity doing business in the State of Nevada, and was the distributor/installer of the vehicle that was driving in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 13. Upon information and belief, and at all times mentioned herein, Defendant ROE RETAILER I-V is, and at all times pertinent hereto was, an entity doing business in the State of Nevada, and was the

entity who sold and/or provided service/maintenance of the vehicle that was driving in the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

- 14. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, of Defendants DOES I-V and ROES I-V are unknown to Plaintiffs who therefore sue these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed, believe and thereon allege, that each Defendant designated herein as DOEs and/or ROEs is/are responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to and which caused damages proximately to Plaintiffs as herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of the Named DOEs and ROEs when the same have been ascertained, and to join such Defendants in this action.
- 15. That or on about June 24, 2017, PLAINTIFFS were injured in a single vehicle roll-over accident caused by a left rear tire malfunction and control mechanisms of the vehicle causing the subject vehicle lose control leading into a multiple roll accident. This accident caused serious injury to PLAINTIFFS.
- 16. Upon information and belief, and at all times mentioned herein, Defendants FORD and/or DOES I-X, ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, ROE MANUFACTURER I-V and/or ROE DISTRIBUTOR I-V and/or ROE RETAILER I-V (hereinafter, collectively "DEFENDANTS") were at all times relevant to this action engaged in the design and/or manufacture and/or distributor and/or sales and/or installation and/or inspection and/or maintenance of their vehicles, including the subject vehicle in Clark County, Nevada.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

17. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

- 18. That DEFENDANTS designed, tested, manufactured, developed, assembled, marketed and placed in the stream of commerce, the subject vehicle.
- 19. That DEFENDANTS owed a duty of care to Plaintiffs, in the design, testing, manufacture, assembly, marketing, developing, and sale of the subject vehicle.
- 20. Defendants breached its duty of care by its negligent, careless, wanton, willful, and indifferent failure to act including, but not limited to:
 - a. The negligent and improper design, testing, manufacture, assembly, inspection and maintenance of the subject vehicle.
 - b. The failure to provide adequate, accurate and effective warnings and instructions to owners, operators and users of the vehicle.
- 21. That as a direct and proximate result of these defects and/or acts and/or omissions, the subject vehicle, including the Electronic Stability Control malfunctioned while Plaintiff was operating the vehicle and otherwise using it, directly and proximately causing severe injuries and damages to Plaintiff as more fully set forth and described hereinbelow.
- 22. By reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs sustained bodily injuries, all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, and all to Plaintiffs' general damage in a total amount not yet fully ascertained, but nevertheless in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.
- 23. By reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence of DEFENDANTS, and each of them, Plaintiffs were required to and did and will undergo medical and other treatment for their injuries, and suffered, and will continue to suffer, in mind and in body, all to Plaintiffs' damage in an amount not yet fully ascertained but nevertheless in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.

24.	That s	said s	services,	care	and	treatmen	t are	continuing	and	shall	continue	in	the	future,	all t	C
	Plainti	iffs' d	lamage in	ı a pr	esent	ly unasce	rtain	able amount	, and	Plain	tiffs will	ame	end t	the Con	nplair	11
	accord	lingly	when th	e sam	e is f	fully ascer	taine	ed.								

- 25. That prior to the injuries sustained and complained of herein, Plaintiffs were able-bodied persons and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which Plaintiffs were otherwise suited.
- 26. That by reason of the circumstances and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs have incurred past pain and suffering and have been required to and did lose the ability to perform many daily life and work activities and may have restrictions on future life and work activities and shall continue to experience scarring, future pain and suffering and be limited in activities in the future, which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiffs damages in a presently unascertainable amount, and in that regard Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to insert said amount when same shall be fully ascertained.
- 27. That it has been necessary for Plaintiffs to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs are entitled to attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment and post judgment interest herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Product Defect/Strict Liability)

- 28. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. That DEFENDANTS, and each of them, designed, manufactured, distributed, sold inspected and maintained the subject vehicle that was not fit for its intended purpose and use.

- 30. That DEFENDANTS are strictly liable for the unreasonably dangerous and defective condition of the vehicle, including, but not limited to, the Electronic Stability Control.
- 31. That DEFENDANTS breached their express and implied warranties with respect to the subject vehicle.
- 32. That DEFENDANTS should have discovered, through testing, inspection and maintenance, and other means, that the vehicle was unfit for its intended use and purpose and was unreasonably dangerous.
- 33. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs sustained bodily injury all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, and all to Plaintiffs' general damages in a total sum not yet fully ascertained but nevertheless in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.
- 34. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs were required to and did and will receive medical and other treatment for their injuries, and suffered, and will continue to suffer, in mind and in body, all to Plaintiffs' damages in a total sum not yet fully ascertained but nevertheless in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.
- 35. That said medical services, care and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, all to Plaintiffs' damage in a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint accordingly when the same is fully ascertained.
- 36. That prior to the injuries sustained and complained of herein, Plaintiffs were able-bodied persons and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which Plaintiffs were otherwise suited.

37. That by reason of the circumstances and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs have incurred past pain and suffering and have been required to and did lose the ability to perform many daily life and work activities and may have restrictions on future life and work activities and shall continue to experience scarring, future pain and suffering and be limited in activities in the future, which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiffs damages in a presently unascertainable amount, and in that regard Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to insert said amount when same shall be fully ascertained.

38. That it has been necessary for Plaintiffs to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute is action and Plaintiffs are entitled to attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment and post judgment interest herein.

THIRD CASUE OF ACTION (Failure to Inspect and Warn)

39. That DEFENDANTS, and each of them, knew or should have known that the subject vehicle, including the Electronic Stability Control was defective and/or dangerous in its design and manufacture and/or in its inspection, maintenance and use, and failed to warn Plaintiffs of that defect or dangerous condition.

- 40. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs sustained bodily injury all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, and all to Plaintiffs' general damages in a total amount not yet fully ascertained but nevertheless in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.
- 41. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs were required to and did and will receive medical and other treatment for Plaintiffs' injuries, and suffered, and will continue to suffer, in mind and in body, all to Plaintiffs' damage in a sum in

excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them; and that said services, care and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, all to Plaintiffs' damage in a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.

- 42. That prior to the injuries sustained and complained of herein, Plaintiffs were able-bodied persons and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which Plaintiffs were otherwise suited.
- 43. That by reason of the circumstances and as a direct and proximate result thereof Plaintiffs have incurred past pain and suffering and have been required to and did lose the ability to perform many daily life and work activities and may have restrictions on future life and work activities and shall continue to experience scarring, future pain and suffering and be limited in activities in the future, which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiffs damages in a presently unascertainable amount, and in that regard Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to insert said amount when same shall be fully ascertained.
- 44. That it has been necessary for Plaintiffs to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs are entitled to attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment and post judgment interest herein.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Implied Warranty as to All Defendants)

45. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

23

24

- 46. That through the design, testing, manufacture, assembly, marketing, sale, inspection and maintenance of the subject vehicle, implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for use arose by operation of Nevada common law.
- 47. That the subject vehicle and their components and subassemblies, were neither merchantable nor fit for their intended use, and, on the contrary, were defective and unreasonably dangerous due to the breach by DEFENDANTS of the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for use for the reasons described above.
- 48. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs sustained bodily injury all or some of which conditions may be permanent and disabling in nature, and all to Plaintiffs' general damages in a total amount that has not yet been fully ascertained but nevertheless is in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), for each of them.
- 49. That by reason of the aforesaid and as a direct and proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs were required to and did and will receive medical and other treatment for their injuries, and suffered, and will continue to suffer, in mind and in body, all to Plaintiffs' damage in a sum in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) for each of them; and that said services, care and treatment are continuing and shall continue in the future, all to Plaintiffs' damage in a presently unascertainable amount, and Plaintiffs will amend the Complaint accordingly when the same shall be ascertained.
- 50. That prior to the injuries sustained and complained of herein, Plaintiffs were able-bodied persons and physically capable of engaging in all other activities for which Plaintiffs were otherwise That by reason of the circumstances and as a direct and proximate result thereof.
- 51. Plaintiffs have incurred past pain and suffering and has been required to and did lose the ability to perform many daily life and work activities and may have restrictions on future life and work

activities and shall continue to experience scarring, future pain and suffering and be limited in activities in the future, which have caused and shall continue to cause Plaintiffs damages in a presently unascertainable amount, and in that regard Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to insert said amount when same shall be fully ascertained.

52. That it has been necessary for Plaintiffs to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and Plaintiffs are entitled to attorneys' fees, costs, and prejudgment and post judgment interest herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS, and each of them, expressly reserving the right herein to include all items of damage, demand judgment against the DEFENDANTS, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. General damages for PLAINTIFFS, and each of them, in an amount in excess of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00), each;
- 2. Special damages for PLAINTIFFS', and each of their, medical and miscellaneous expenses as of this date, plus future medical expenses and the miscellaneous expenses incidental thereto in a presently unascertainable amount;
- 3. Special damages for lost wages in a presently unascertainable amount, and/or diminution of the earning capacity of said PLAINTIFFS, and each of them, plus possible future loss of earnings and/or diminution of PLAINTIFFS', and each of their, earning capacity in a presently unascertainable amount;
- 4. Special damages for other incidental damages;
- 5. Costs of this suit, attorney's fees, and prejudgment interest; and

///

///

1	6. Any other relief as to the Court may seem just and proper in the premises.
2	DATED this day of November, 2019.
3	BIGHORN LAW
4	Dev. /-/W:111 1
5	By: /s/Kimball Jones KIMBALL J. JONES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12982
6	JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12982
7	RICHARD FONBUENA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 15041
8	716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	